

# Contents

<b>Preface</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Zusammenfassung</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>Résumé</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>Riassunto</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Background of the project . . . . .	1
1.2. Problem statement . . . . .	2
1.3. Objectives of this study . . . . .	3
1.4. Outline of the report . . . . .	4
<b>2. Review of plastic hinge models</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1. Introduction . . . . .	7
2.2. Plastic hinge length . . . . .	8
2.2.1. Parameters influencing the plastic hinge length . . . . .	8
2.2.2. Plastic hinge length according to Priestley et al. . . . .	9
2.2.3. Plastic hinge length according to Fardis et al. . . . .	10
2.2.4. Plastic hinge length in Eurocode . . . . .	11
2.2.5. Numerically determined plastic hinge lengths . . . . .	12
2.2.6. Experimentally determined plastic hinge length . . . . .	14
2.2.7. Summary of plastic hinge lengths . . . . .	15
2.3. Rotation due to anchorage slip . . . . .	16
2.3.1. Anchorage slip . . . . .	16
2.3.2. Rotation due to anchorage slip . . . . .	19
2.4. Strain and curvature limits . . . . .	22
2.5. Flexural response . . . . .	24
2.5.1. Bilinear approaches . . . . .	24
2.5.2. Refined approach according to Priestley et al. . . . .	27

2.5.3.	Drift according to Eurocode . . . . .	27
2.6.	Shear response . . . . .	28
2.6.1.	Shear deformations based on axial strains . . . . .	28
2.6.2.	Shear deformations based on crack inclination . . . . .	29
2.6.3.	Shear deformations based on stiffness . . . . .	30
2.6.4.	Shear crack angles . . . . .	31
2.6.5.	Shear cracking . . . . .	33
2.7.	Influence of lap-splices . . . . .	34
2.7.1.	Behavior of lap-splices under cyclic loading . . . . .	34
2.7.2.	Modeling approach . . . . .	35
2.7.3.	Strength of lap-splices . . . . .	36
2.7.4.	Strain limits . . . . .	39
<b>3.</b>	<b>Application of plastic hinge models</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1.	Introduction . . . . .	41
3.2.	Experimental data . . . . .	41
3.3.	Plastic hinge length . . . . .	43
3.3.1.	Experimental plastic hinge length . . . . .	43
3.3.2.	Variations in experimentally determined plastic hinge lengths . . . . .	48
3.3.3.	Summary and comparison of plastic hinge lengths . . . . .	52
3.3.4.	Discussion of plastic hinge lengths . . . . .	55
3.4.	Strain penetration influence . . . . .	56
3.4.1.	Experimentally determined strain penetration influence . . . . .	56
3.4.2.	Discussion of strain penetration estimates . . . . .	57
3.5.	Moment curvature analysis . . . . .	58
3.6.	Flexural response . . . . .	60
3.6.1.	Summary of approaches . . . . .	60
3.6.2.	Limit strains and curvatures . . . . .	60
3.6.3.	Force-flexural deformation response . . . . .	64
3.6.4.	Discussion of flexural deformation results . . . . .	65
3.7.	Shear response . . . . .	67
3.7.1.	Introductory remarks . . . . .	67
3.7.2.	Experimental data . . . . .	67
3.7.3.	Summary of approaches . . . . .	70
3.7.4.	Evaluation of shear deformation models . . . . .	72
3.7.5.	Discussion of results . . . . .	75
3.7.6.	Modifications of existing models . . . . .	76
3.7.7.	New approach based on axial elongation . . . . .	78
3.8.	Influence of lap-splices . . . . .	88
3.8.1.	Previously introduced stress and strain limits . . . . .	88
3.8.2.	Additional strain limit . . . . .	89
3.8.3.	Computation of response . . . . .	90

3.8.4. Discussion of results . . . . .	91
3.9. Force-deformation relationship . . . . .	93
3.9.1. Computation of response . . . . .	93
3.9.2. Discussion of results . . . . .	95
3.10. Conclusions . . . . .	96
<b>4. Review and application of shear-strength degradation models</b>	<b>99</b>
4.1. Introduction . . . . .	99
4.2. Shear-strength degradation models . . . . .	100
4.2.1. Shear-capacity models dependent on ductility . . . . .	100
4.2.2. Drift capacity models . . . . .	103
4.2.3. Truss model with plastic limits . . . . .	105
4.2.4. Shear - flexure interaction model . . . . .	106
4.3. Application of models to test units . . . . .	108
4.3.1. Introduction . . . . .	108
4.3.2. Ductility dependent models . . . . .	109
4.3.3. Drift capacity models . . . . .	110
4.3.4. Truss and interaction models . . . . .	110
4.3.5. Conclusions . . . . .	111
<b>5. Validation of a kinematic model</b>	<b>113</b>
5.1. Introduction . . . . .	113
5.2. Three parameter kinematic theory - 3PKT . . . . .	113
5.2.1. Kinematics assumed in the 3PKT . . . . .	113
5.2.2. Load bearing mechanisms considered in the 3PKT . . . . .	116
5.2.3. Failure mechanism . . . . .	119
5.3. Experimental database for comparison . . . . .	119
5.4. Validation of the 3PKT . . . . .	123
5.5. Influence of pier characteristics on response . . . . .	129
5.5.1. Introduction . . . . .	129
5.5.2. Transverse reinforcement ratio . . . . .	129
5.5.3. Aspect ratio . . . . .	132
5.5.4. Axial load ratio . . . . .	134
5.5.5. Longitudinal reinforcement ratio . . . . .	136
5.6. Critical loading zone CLZ . . . . .	139
5.6.1. Influence of size of the CLZ on the response . . . . .	139
5.6.2. Relation of the size of the CLZ to various parameters . . . . .	140
5.7. Conclusions . . . . .	147
<b>6. Summary, Conclusions and Outlook</b>	<b>149</b>
6.1. Summary . . . . .	149
6.2. Conclusions . . . . .	150
6.3. Outlook . . . . .	152

Contents

---

<b>Notation</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>158</b>