

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	5
SECTION I: INDETERMINATE LEGAL TERMS & THE NEED TO CONSIDER VALUES	13
1. VAGUENESS IN LEGAL LANGUAGE	13
A. <i>Classification of norms</i>	14
B. <i>The intentional use of legal vagueness to achieve legal abstraction</i>	17
C. <i>The limits to legal vagueness in abstract norms</i>	18
D. <i>Indeterminate legal terms</i>	20
E. <i>The notion of "values" for purposes of indeterminate legal terms</i>	22
F. <i>The limits to legal vagueness in indeterminate legal terms</i>	24
2. ADDITIONAL REASONS TO ADOPT FLEXIBLE LEGAL LANGUAGE IN NEGOTIATING INTERNATIONAL TREATIES	26
A. <i>Influence of the international politico-historical context</i>	26
i. International Trade Law	26
ii. International Investment Law	31
B. <i>The strategies characteristic of international treaty negotiations</i>	37
3. THE IMPORTANCE ACCORDED TO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT & THE ROLE OF ADJUDICATORS	40
A. <i>The legitimacy of dispute settlement systems: between legislative specification and authority delegation</i>	41
B. <i>Varying degree of authority delegated to international adjudicators</i>	46
i Absence of an international agreement or rule	48
ii Vague and general rules associated with certain reservations	48
iii Clear and precise rules - scenario (a): application to a predicted factual behavior (efficient result)	53
iv Clear and precise rules - scenario (b): application to unpredicted factual behaviour (inefficient/unwanted result) ...	54
v Flexible rules with a certain degree of legal vagueness	55
vi Flexible rules delegating power to external entities	60
vii Flexible rules delegating power to adjudicators: indeterminate legal terms	64
4. CONCLUDING REMARKS	71
SECTION II: THE CONSIDERATION OF VALUES IN DISPUTE SETTLEMENT	72
1. PRELIMINARY REMARKS	73
A. <i>The simultaneous examination of international trade law and international investment law</i>	73
i Legal form and context	73
ii Dispute settlement structure and functions	78
iii Remedies available	82
iv Substantive legal concepts	85
v Cross-fertilization of trade and investment rules and jurisprudence	88
vi Overlap in regulation and real-world business transactions	95

vii	The possibility of forum shopping and conflicts	98
viii	Relevance for this research	103
B.	<i>Fragmentation of international law and the consideration of values</i>	104
2.	CONSIDERATION OF VALUES PRIOR TO DISPUTES: LEGAL GUIDELINES BY TREATY NEGOTIATORS	106
A.	<i>Identification of values within a legal system</i>	106
B.	<i>Renegotiation and authoritative interpretation</i>	114
C.	<i>Self-interpretation/self-judging clauses and deferential standard of review</i>	119
D.	<i>Reservations, waivers, exemptions, and other restrictions to the scope of treaties</i>	121
E.	<i>Exceptions and non-precluded measures</i>	124
F.	<i>Institutional and procedural aspects of the dispute settlement system</i>	129
i	Consideration of subsequent developments	129
ii	Systemic considerations	132
3.	CONSIDERATION OF VALUES DURING DISPUTES: INSTRUMENTS AVAILABLE TO ADJUDICATORS	134
A.	<i>Interpretation</i>	135
i	Ordinary meaning	139
ii	Preparatory work	141
iii	Context, and object and purpose	143
iv	Principle of good faith	150
v	Relevant rules of international law applicable between the parties	153
vi	Absurd or unreasonable result	158
vii	Evolutionary interpretation	162
viii	Principle of effectiveness	165
B.	<i>Evidence and factual findings</i>	170
C.	<i>Consideration of outside international law to other matters</i>	177
D.	<i>Balancing</i>	181
4.	CONCLUDING REMARKS	187
SECTION III: BALANCING OF VALUES IN DISPUTE SETTLEMENT		189
1.	INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC LAW	190
A.	<i>'Likeness' and 'less favourable treatment' in national treatment obligations</i>	191
i	Legal test or assessment	191
ii	Axiological assessment	198
Basic axiological considerations	198	
Relative comparison of values	203	
Legal impact	204	
B.	<i>Exceptions and non-precluded measures</i>	204
i	Legal test or assessment	204
ii	Axiological assessment	213
Basic axiological considerations	213	

Relative comparison of values	215
Legal impact	216
C. <i>Indirect expropriation</i>	218
i Legal test or assessment	219
ii Axiological assessment	224
Basic axiological considerations	224
Relative comparison of values	224
Legal impact	225
D. <i>Fair and equitable treatment</i>	226
i Legal test or assessment	229
ii Axiological assessment	232
Basic axiological considerations	232
Relative comparison of values	233
Legal impact	234
2. OTHER SELECTED DISPUTE SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS	234
A. <i>International Court of Justice</i>	235
B. <i>European Court of Justice</i>	235
C. <i>European Court of Human Rights</i>	236
3. CONCLUDING REMARKS	237
SECTION IV: PROPOSED THEORY FOR THE BALANCING OF VALUES IN INDETERMINATE	
LEGAL TERMS	239
1. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	240
A. <i>The conceptual impact of a value in law</i>	240
B. <i>The transfer of values throughout different legal systems</i>	241
C. <i>The proportionality of axiological assessments</i>	242
i The four-pronged proportionality test	244
Evaluation of the policy objective pursued	245
Assessment of the suitability of the measure to achieve the identified objective.....	246
Appraisal of the necessity of the measure	246
Evaluation of proportionality stricto sensu	247
ii The adjudicatory benefits of engaging in a proportionality analysis	249
2. ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK	251
A. <i>Relevant factors</i>	253
i Wording and openness to values	253
ii Strength of value (abstract importance and legal anchoring)	253
iii Actual importance/relevance of value for relevant State	254
iv Relative comparison between economic and non-economic values	255
B. <i>Possible questions with regards to the proposed analytical framework</i>	255

i	What should be the legal impact on indeterminate legal terms?	256
	National treatment	257
	Exceptions and non-precluded clauses	258
	Indirect expropriation	261
	Fair and equitable treatment	264
v	How does this framework address the critique that international economic law adjudicators should not balance competing values?	265
vi	Is this not <i>de lege ferenda</i> (what the law ought to be; wishful thinking)?	266
3.	CONCLUDING REMARKS	268
	GENERAL CONCLUSION	270
	TABLE OF CASES AND AGREEMENTS CITED	274
	WTO DISPUTE SETTLEMENT	274
	GATT PANELS	282
	ICSID CASES	282
	CASES FROM OTHER DISPUTE SETTLEMENT SYSTEMS	287
	WTO DOCUMENTS	289
	AGREEMENTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS CITED	291
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	299