

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Subduction zone seismicity . . . . .	2
1.2	State-of-the-art modeling approaches . . . . .	9
1.3	Thesis objectives . . . . .	13
1.4	Thesis outline . . . . .	14
<b>2</b>	<b>Laboratory insights into the seismic cycle at subduction thrusts</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	20
2.2	Experimental approach . . . . .	23
2.2.1	Rheological properties of the analog materials . . . . .	23
2.2.2	Frictional properties . . . . .	24
2.2.3	Scaling . . . . .	26
2.2.4	Experimental setup . . . . .	27
2.2.5	Monitoring and resolution . . . . .	29
2.2.6	Source-parameter derivations . . . . .	30
2.3	Results . . . . .	33
2.3.1	Cyclicity of the deformation pattern . . . . .	33
2.3.2	Wedge deformation during the analog subduction seismic cycle: co- and interseismic stages . . . . .	35
2.3.3	Hypocenter location, rupture width, and slip variation . . . . .	37
2.3.4	Seismic moment vs. duration . . . . .	39
2.3.5	Experimental variability and repeatability . . . . .	41
2.4	Discussion . . . . .	43
2.4.1	Recurrence behavior . . . . .	43
2.4.2	Coseismic and interseismic model response . . . . .	45
2.4.3	Rupture behavior . . . . .	47
2.4.4	Source-parameter scaling . . . . .	50
2.5	Conclusions . . . . .	51
<b>3</b>	<b>Seismic cycle validation of geodynamic simulations</b>	<b>53</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	56
3.2	Methods . . . . .	58

3.2.1	Numerical method . . . . .	59
3.2.2	Model setup . . . . .	62
3.2.3	Material properties and scaling . . . . .	64
3.3	Results and analysis . . . . .	66
3.3.1	Validation towards earthquake cycle modeling . . . . .	66
3.3.2	Physical framework . . . . .	72
3.3.3	Rupture propagation and seismic cycle deformation . . . . .	76
3.4	Discussion . . . . .	81
3.4.1	Role of frictional formulation . . . . .	82
3.4.2	Comparison with laboratory source parameters . . . . .	83
3.4.3	Role of material parameters . . . . .	83
3.4.4	Rupture nucleation, propagation, and complexity . . . . .	84
3.4.5	Dynamic implications and the role of inertia . . . . .	86
3.4.6	Geodetic displacements . . . . .	87
3.4.7	Model limitations . . . . .	89
3.5	Conclusions . . . . .	90
3.A	Numerical stability . . . . .	91
3.A.1	Inherent source parameter variation . . . . .	91
3.A.2	Time step and inertia . . . . .	92
3.A.3	Grid size . . . . .	94
3.B	Scaling . . . . .	95
3.C	Source parameter derivation . . . . .	96
<b>4</b>	<b>Seismo-thermo-mechanical modeling of subduction zones</b>	<b>99</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	102
4.2	Method . . . . .	103
4.2.1	Governing equations . . . . .	104
4.2.2	Rheological model . . . . .	105
4.2.3	Hydration and fluid flow processes . . . . .	105
4.2.4	Plasticity and friction formulations . . . . .	106
4.2.5	Model setup . . . . .	108
4.2.6	Material parameters . . . . .	108
4.2.7	Initial and boundary conditions . . . . .	112
4.3	Results and analysis . . . . .	113
4.3.1	Seismicity . . . . .	113
4.3.2	Surface displacements . . . . .	115
4.3.3	Stress and strength at the thrust . . . . .	117
4.3.4	Frictional vs. fluid-induced weakening . . . . .	120
4.4	Discussion . . . . .	121
4.4.1	Comparing cycle parameters to laboratory model and natural data	122
4.4.2	GPS displacements in Southern Chile . . . . .	124

4.4.3	Rupture initiation, propagation and complexity . . . . .	126
4.4.4	The role of temperature for the downdip seismogenic zone limit . . .	127
4.4.5	Fault strength: the need for both frictional and fluid-induced weakening . . . . .	128
4.4.6	Model limitations and future work . . . . .	130
4.5	Conclusions . . . . .	130
4.A	Laboratory-based derivation of frictional parameters . . . . .	131
4.B	Subduction velocity and mantle property sensitivities . . . . .	132
<b>5</b>	<b>The role of off-megathrust events in seismic cycles</b>	<b>135</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	138
5.2	Seismo-thermo-mechanical modelling . . . . .	138
5.3	Spatial characteristics of off-megathrust seismicity . . . . .	141
5.3.1	Outerrise normal faults . . . . .	141
5.3.2	Splay faults in the sedimentary wedge . . . . .	141
5.3.3	Antithetic normal faults in the sedimentary wedge . . . . .	142
5.3.4	Physical background . . . . .	142
5.4	Temporal characteristics of off-megathrust seismicity . . . . .	144
5.5	Impact on megathrust cycling . . . . .	146
5.6	Conclusions . . . . .	147
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and perspectives</b>	<b>149</b>
6.1	Thesis conclusions . . . . .	150
6.2	Future perspectives . . . . .	154
<b>A</b>	<b>Modeling of postseismic slip after the 2004 M9.2 Sumatra earthquake</b>	<b>161</b>
A.1	Introduction . . . . .	164
A.2	GRACE gravity data . . . . .	166
A.3	Numerical modeling . . . . .	167
A.3.1	Long-term simulation (stage 1) . . . . .	169
A.3.2	Short-term simulation (stage 2) . . . . .	171
A.3.3	Comparison with GRACE gravity data . . . . .	177
A.4	Discussion and Conclusions . . . . .	179
	<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>181</b>
	<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>183</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>185</b>
	<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>217</b>
	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>221</b>