Contents

Summary ... iii

Zusammenfassung ... v

1 Introduction ... 1

1.1 Background ... 1

1.2 Motivation ... 1

1.3 State of research and proposed approach ... 2

1.4 Thesis outline ... 9

2 Structured decision making for sustainable water infrastructure planning under four future scenarios ... 11

2.1 Introduction ... 11

2.1.1 Structured decision making ... 11

2.1.2 Combining scenario planning with MCDA ... 12

2.1.3 Water infrastructure planning ... 13

2.1.4 Objectives of this paper ... 14

2.2 Materials and Methods ... 14

2.2.1 Step (1) Clarify decision context ... 14

2.2.2 Step (2) Define objectives and attributes ... 15

2.2.3 Future scenarios ... 17

2.2.4 Step (3) Develop alternatives ... 17

2.3 Results ... 18

2.3.1 Step (2) Define objectives and attributes ... 18

2.3.2 Future scenarios ... 23

2.3.3 Step (3) Develop alternatives ... 24

2.3.4 Feedback about the SDM-procedure ... 25

2.4 Discussion ... 27

2.4.1 Step (1) Clarify decision context ... 27

2.4.2 Step (2) Define objectives and attributes ... 28

2.4.3 Future scenarios ... 29

2.4.4 Step (3) Develop alternatives ... 29

2.4.5 Conclusions and Outlook ... 30

2.5 Acknowledgements ... 31

3 Combining expert knowledge and local data for improved service life modeling of water supply networks ... 33

3.1 Introduction ... 34

Scholten, Lisa
Multi-criteria decision analysis for water supply ...
2013

digitalisiert durch
IDS Basel/Bern, im Auftrag der
Schweizerischen Nationalbibliothek

3.1.1 Challenge....34
3.1.2 Network rehabilitation and survival modeling with scarce data....34
3.1.3 Background on expert knowledge elicitation and aggregation....34
3.1.4 Elicitation of the parameters from a multivariate survival function....35
3.1.5 Goal and structure of the paper....36
3.2 Methods....37
3.2.1 Choice of the survival model....37
3.2.2 Expert Elicitation....37
3.2.3 Derivation of experts' priors of survival function parameters and aggregation....40
3.2.4 Model parameter estimation....42
3.2.5 Utility data....44
3.3 Results and Discussion....45
3.3.1 Expert elicitation....45
3.3.2 Parametric model identification....46
3.3.3 Expert prior aggregation....48
3.3.4 Maximum likelihood estimation from data....51
3.3.5 Bayesian inference....54
3.4 Summary and Conclusions....56
3.4.1 Improved service life modeling under scarce data....56
3.4.2 Expert elicitation and prior aggregation....56
3.4.3 Frequentist and Bayesian inference....56
3.4.4 Ambiguity of model selection....57
3.4.5 Consideration of uncertainty....57
3.5 Acknowledgements....57
3.6 Appendices....58
Appendix A- Expert elicitation....58
Appendix B- Parametric model fit and parameter uncertainty....63
4 Extension of pipe failure models to consider the absence of data from replaced pipes....65
4.1 Introduction....65
4.2 Methods....67
4.2.1 Pipe failure model....67
4.2.2 Replacement model....69
4.2.3 Joint likelihood....69
4.2.4 Consideration of covariables....70
4.2.5 Parameter inference....70
4.2.6 Predictions....70
4.3 Example: Weibull-exponential model....71

4.3.1 Likelihood for completely observed pipes 72
4.3.2 Consideration of replacement 72
4.3.3 Predictions 74
4.3.4 Implementation 74
4.4 Application examples 74
4.4.1 Simulated data 74
4.4.2 Real data 77
4.5 Discussion 78
4.6 Conclusions 80
4.7 Acknowledgments 80
5 Strategic rehabilitation planning of piped water networks using multi-criteria decision analysis 81
5.1 Introduction 81
5.1.1 Strategic Asset Management (SAM) 81
5.1.2 Failure models 82
5.1.3 Comparing rehabilitation alternatives 82
5.1.4 Decision support 82
5.1.5 Uncertainty assessment 83
5.1.6 Goal and structure 83
5.2 Material and methods 84
5.2.1 Data preparation 84
5.2.2 Pipe failure and replacement model 84
5.2.3 Network rehabilitation model 86
5.2.4 MCDA framework 87
5.2.5 Objectives and attributes 88
5.2.6 Strategic rehabilitation alternatives 89
5.2.7 Modeling preferences 90
5.2.8 Dominance and ranking of alternatives under uncertainty 92
5.2.9 Robustness under four future scenarios 92
5.2.10 Implementation 93
5.3 Results 94
5.3.1 Network data 94
5.3.2 Failure model 95
5.3.3 Outcomes of strategic alternatives 96
5.3.4 Outcomes of strategic alternatives and dominance 99
5.3.5 Ranking and sensitivity under different preference assumptions 99
5.4 Discussion 100

5.4.1 Data preparation 100
5.4.2 Failure and rehabilitation model 101
5.4.3 Outcomes of strategic planning alternatives 102
5.4.4 Ranking of alternatives and sensitivity 102
5.4.5 Outcome of the case study 103
5.5 Conclusions 103
5.6 Acknowledgements 104
5.7 Appendices 105
Appendix A) Length homogenization procedure 105
Appendix B) Future scenarios 105
Appendix C) First-degree stochastic dominance- risk profiles 107
6 Tackling uncertainty in multi-criteria decision analysis – An application to water supply infrastructure planning 109
6.1 Introduction 110
6.1.1 Consideration of uncertainty in MAUT applications 110
6.1.2 Sources of uncertainty 110
6.1.3 Uncertainty and sensitivity analysis 112
6.1.4 Application of MAUT to water supply infrastructure planning 112
6.1.5 Aim of the study and main research questions 113
6.2 Material and methods 113
6.2.1 Case study "Mönchaltorfer Aa" 113
6.2.2 Elicitation of preferences 116
6.2.3 Preference modeling 118
6.2.4 Uncertainty analysis 118
6.2.5 Global sensitivity analysis (GSA) 121
6.2.6 Implementation 122
6.3 Results of the case study 122
6.3.1 Attribute outcomes 122
6.3.2 Stakeholder preferences 123
6.3.3 Ranking of alternatives and uncertainty analysis 124
6.4 Results of the global sensitivity analysis 128
6.5 Discussion 132
6.5.1 Water infrastructure planning in the case study 132
6.5.2 Preference elicitation 133
6.5.3 Uncertainty analysis 134
6.5.4 Global sensitivity analysis 134
6.6 Conclusions 135

6.7 Acknowledgements 136
6.8 Appendix 137
7 Conclusions and outlook 139
7.1 Conclusions 139
7.1.1 Pipe survival, failure, and rehabilitation modeling 139
7.1.2 Multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA) for water infrastructure planning under uncertainty 141
7.1.3 Findings and recommendations for the Mönchaltorfer Aa case study 144
7.2 Outlook 146
Acknowledgements 151
References 153
SI-A) Additional Information for "Structured decision making for sustainable water infrastructure planning under four future scenarios" 169
A1 Step (2) Define objectives and attributes 170
A2 Future scenarios 191
A3 Step (3) Develop alternatives 194
A4 Stakeholder feedback and recommendations 203
SI-B) Supporting Material to: Combining expert knowledge and local data for improved service life modeling of water supply networks 209
SI-C) Supporting Material to: Strategic rehabilitation planning of piped water networks using multi-criteria decision analysis 219
C1 Symbols and abbreviations 219
C2 Prediction of unrecorded failures 220
C3 Estimated failure model parameters for runs with fixed π in water utilities B and C 221
C4 Second-degree stochastic dominance analysis 222
C5 MCDA results for all alternatives 225
C6 Additional figures 226
SI-D) Supporting Information to: Tackling uncertainty in multi-criteria decision analysis- An application to water supply infrastructure planning 233
D1 Stakeholder identification 233
D2 Decision attributes 235
D3 Decision alternatives 242
D4 Stakeholder preferences 257
D5 Uncertainty analysis 275
D6 Global sensitivity analysis (GSA) 278
Curriculum Vitae 281