

Contents

Acknowledgments I

Contents.....III

Kurzfassung IX

Abstract.....XI

1 Introduction13

 1.0 Motivation.....13

 1.1 Context of research.....14

 1.2 Aims, methodology, and structure of the study.....15

2 Literature review.....17

 2.0 The challenge: landfills and ground improvement.....17

 2.0.0 Sand compaction piles19

 2.0.1 Dynamic compaction21

 2.1 Double porosity soil23

 2.1.0 General characteristics and behaviour23

 2.1.1 Soft soil behaviour.....24

 2.1.2 Physical modelling of double porosity clay26

 2.1.3 Numerical modelling of double porosity soils28

 2.2 Mining waste landfills in the Czech Republic31

 2.2.0 General characteristics.....31

 2.2.1 Laboratory testing of Czech clayfill soil; specific soil parameters32

 2.2.2 Soil structure breakdown32

 2.2.3 Site investigation in the field35

 2.2.4 Field tests in the Most Basin in the Czech Republic35

 2.3 Centrifuge modelling36

 2.3.0 Theory of centrifuge modelling36

2.3.1	ETH Zürich geotechnical centrifuge.....	39
2.3.2	Site investigation	40
2.3.3	Ground improvement.....	42
2.3.4	Loading	46
3	Laboratory testing.....	49
3.0	Material	49
3.1	Parameter tests.....	49
3.1.0	Pycnometer analysis	49
3.1.1	Atterberg limits	49
3.1.2	Particle size distribution.....	50
3.2	Mini centrifuge tests	51
3.2.0	Objectives	51
3.2.1	Material preparation for mini-centrifuge tests.....	51
3.2.2	Methodology	52
3.2.3	Results	54
3.3	Material preparation method for large centrifuge tests.....	57
3.4	Oedometer testing to establish effect of saturation ratio on one-dimensional compression	60
3.4.0	Objectives	60
3.4.1	Methodology	60
3.4.2	Results	62
3.5	Summary.....	65
4	Centrifuge testing	67
4.0	Introduction	67
4.0.0	Modelling plan and test sequence	67
4.0.1	Instrumentation, measurements and tools.....	69
4.0.2	Model construction	78

4.0.3	Execution of centrifuge tests	81
4.1	Series 1: Scoping tests.....	84
4.1.0	Introduction	84
4.1.1	Results.....	86
4.1.2	Summary.....	110
4.2	Series 2: Embankments	112
4.2.0	Introduction	112
4.2.1	Results.....	112
4.2.2	Summary.....	128
4.3	Series 3: Sand compaction piles (SCPs).....	130
4.3.0	Introduction	130
4.3.1	Results.....	131
4.3.2	Summary.....	163
4.4	Series 4: Dynamic compaction	165
4.4.0	Introduction	165
4.4.1	Results.....	167
4.4.2	Summary.....	187
4.5	Discussion of centrifuge modelling challenges	191
4.5.0	Water table height.....	191
4.5.1	CPT calibration.....	191
4.5.2	Variation of gravity field with depth.....	191
4.5.3	Particle size effects	192
4.5.4	Scaling laws	194
5	Discussion.....	195
5.0	Introduction	195
5.1	Implications for the soil structure	195
5.1.0	Destructuration of double porosity structure	195

5.1.1	Behaviour in self-weight consolidation.....	199
5.1.0	Pore pressure during normal consolidation	201
5.2	Foundation tests.....	203
5.2.0	SLS comparison: Footing test deflection at low load	203
5.2.1	ULS comparison: Footing test failure.....	204
5.3	Effect of ground improvement measures	207
5.4	Performance in practice - recommendations	211
6	Conclusions and outlook	213
6.0	Summary of experimental conclusions	213
6.0.0	General developments	213
6.0.1	Small scale behaviour	214
6.0.2	Macro-scale behaviour	215
6.0.3	Comparison of ground improvement	215
6.1	Outlook and recommendations.....	216
6.1.0	In-situ testing.....	216
6.1.1	Laboratory testing	216
6.1.2	Centrifuge testing	217
7	References.....	219
8	Appendix 0: Lists of Figures and Symbols.....	225
8.0	List of Figures.....	225
8.1	List of Symbols.....	235
9	Appendix 1: Data plots	237
9.0	Series 1.....	237
9.1	Series 2.....	240
9.2	Series 3.....	243
9.3	Series 4.....	253
9.4	Chapter 5.....	258

10 Appendix 2: Positions of PPTs after centrifuge tests262

Curriculum Vitae.....265