

# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation and Goal . . . . .	1
1.2	Challenges and Contributions . . . . .	2
1.3	Terminology . . . . .	5
1.4	Outline . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>The EiffelBase2 Library</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Overview . . . . .	7
2.2	Design Goals . . . . .	8
2.3	The EiffelBase2 Architecture . . . . .	8
2.3.1	Specification and Verification Challenges . . . . .	10
2.3.2	The Mathematical Model Library . . . . .	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Specifying Reusable Components</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	15
3.2	Motivating Examples . . . . .	17
3.2.1	Some limitations of Design by Contract . . . . .	17
3.2.2	Enhancing Design by Contract with models . . . . .	19
3.3	Foundations of Model-Based Contracts . . . . .	21
3.3.1	Abstract Data Types . . . . .	22
3.3.2	Abstract equality and abstract state space . . . . .	23
3.3.3	Model-Based Specifications . . . . .	26
3.4	Model-Based Contracts in Practice . . . . .	31
3.4.1	From Abstract Data Types to Classes . . . . .	31
3.4.2	Assessing Quality in Practice . . . . .	38
3.5	Experimental Evaluation . . . . .	43
3.5.1	Case studies . . . . .	43
3.5.2	Results and discussion . . . . .	43
3.6	Related Work . . . . .	46
3.7	Summary and Future Work . . . . .	47

<b>4</b>	<b>Testing against Strong Specifications</b>	<b>49</b>
4.1	Introduction	49
4.2	A Motivating Example	51
4.3	Strong Specifications for Testing	52
	4.3.1 Representation Constraints	53
	4.3.2 Avoiding False Positives	54
	4.3.3 Tool support	56
4.4	Experiments	57
	4.4.1 Research Questions	57
	4.4.2 Eiffel Experiments	58
	4.4.3 C# Experiment	61
	4.4.4 EiffelBase2 Experiment	62
4.5	Results	63
	4.5.1 Faults Found	63
	4.5.2 Fault Complexity	65
	4.5.3 Usage of Testing Time	67
	4.5.4 Runtime Performance Overhead	68
	4.5.5 Specification Writing Overhead	68
	4.5.6 C# Experiments	69
	4.5.7 EiffelBase2 Experiments	70
	4.5.8 Threats to Validity	70
4.6	Related Work	70
	4.6.1 Formal specifications for testing.	71
	4.6.2 Inferred specifications for testing.	71
	4.6.3 Model-based specifications at runtime.	72
4.7	Summary	72
<b>5</b>	<b>Flexible Invariants for Complex Object Structures</b>	<b>73</b>
5.1	Introduction	73
5.2	Motivating Examples	75
	5.2.1 Observer pattern	75
	5.2.2 Iterator Pattern	77
5.3	Existing Approaches	79
5.4	Semantic Collaboration	82
	5.4.1 Preliminaries and Definitions	82
	5.4.2 Semantic Collaboration: Goals and Proof Obligations	84
	5.4.3 Soundness of the Methodology	86
	5.4.4 Examples	89
	5.4.5 Default Annotations	93
	5.4.6 Update guards	94
	5.4.7 Extensions	94

5.5	Experimental Evaluation . . . . .	96
5.5.1	Challenge Problems . . . . .	96
5.5.2	Results and Discussion . . . . .	98
5.5.3	Comparison with Existing Approaches . . . . .	99
5.6	Summary . . . . .	101
<b>6</b>	<b>Verifying Reusable Components</b>	<b>103</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	103
6.2	A Motivating Example . . . . .	104
6.3	Verification Methodology for Model-Based Contracts . . . . .	106
6.3.1	Encoding of Model Classes . . . . .	106
6.3.2	Encoding of Model Queries . . . . .	110
6.3.3	Abstract Framing . . . . .	111
6.4	Experimental Evaluation . . . . .	114
6.5	Related Work . . . . .	116
6.6	Summary and Future Work . . . . .	118
<b>7</b>	<b>Debugging Failed Verification Attempts</b>	<b>119</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	119
7.2	A Motivating Example . . . . .	121
7.2.1	Comparison with other approaches . . . . .	123
7.3	A Runtime Semantics of Boogie Programs . . . . .	124
7.3.1	Input Language . . . . .	125
7.3.2	Runtime Operational Semantics . . . . .	127
7.4	Boogaloo: Implementation Details . . . . .	133
7.5	Experimental Evaluation . . . . .	136
7.6	Related Work . . . . .	138
7.7	Summary and Future Work . . . . .	140
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>141</b>