

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Introduction	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Illustration of the Problem.....	3
1.3. The State as a Channel and Inhibitor of the Public Interest.....	6
1.4. Overview of the Methodological Framework.....	8
1.5. Scope, Limitations and Exclusions	11
1.5.1. Adjudication Excluded.....	13
1.5.2. State Contracts Excluded	14
1.5.3. Emergency and Necessity Clauses Excluded	15
1.5.4. Remedies Excluded.....	16
1.6. On Semantics and Terminology.....	16
1.7. Organization of the Thesis.....	18
Chapter 2: The <i>Telos</i> of the Regime and Interpretation of International Investment Agreements	20
2.1. Introduction	20
2.2. Interpretation of International Investment Agreements.....	22
2.3. Objectivised Intention of the Parties: the Main Aim of Interpretation and Articles 31 and 32	24
2.3.1. The Allure of Ordinary Meaning: Against Literal and Abstract Textualism.....	26
2.3.2. Context of the Treaty	31

2.3.2.i. Treaty Context and Relevant Rules of International Law	31
2.3.2.ii. IIAs as a Self-Contained Regime?	33
2.3.3. Object and Purpose of IIAs	34
2.4. On the <i>Telos</i> of the Regime	36
2.4.1. The Object of IIAs	37
2.4.2. Purposes of IIAs	39
2.4.2.i. Protection and Encouragement of Foreign Investment	39
2.4.2.ii. Economic Prosperity and Development	43
2.4.2.iii. Regulation and Governance of Foreign Investment	44
2.4.2.iv. The Rule of Law	45
2.4.3. Good Faith in the Interpretation of Investment Treaties, and its Relation to the Object and Purpose and the Principle of Effectiveness	46
2.4.3.i. Restrictive Interpretation in Favour of Sovereignty?	49
2.5. The State Regulatory Powers in the 'Old' and 'New' IIAs: Are We Witnessing a Changing <i>Telos</i> of the Investment Treaty Regime or Simply the Illuminating of the Common Intention of the Parties?	51
2.5.1. Orthodox and Narrow Interpretation on the Role of Regulatory Powers in IIAs	56
2.5.2. Correct Interpretation of the Role of Regulatory Powers in IIAs	58
2.6. Conclusion	60
Chapter 3: The Nature and Function of Investment Treaty Arbitration: Institutional Considerations and Allocation of Power	62
3.1. Introduction	62

3.2. Adjudication in International Law	62
3.3. Competing Conceptions of ITA	67
3.4. ITA and the Allocation of Authority between Domestic and International Law	72
3.4.1. Institutional Reasons for Deference in Regulatory Disputes	76
3.4.2. Removal of Local Remedies Rule: ITA as a Functional Alternative to Domestic Courts	78
3.4.2.i. Autonomous Interpretations without Reference to Domestic Law	80
3.4.2.ii. Reintroducing Local Remedies through the Backdoor	82
3.4.2.iii. Incorporating Domestic Legality into the International Standard	83
3.4.3. The Characterization of Investment Treaty Obligations and of their Object	84
3.4.3.i. Methodology of Review and the Burden of Proof	92
3.4.3.ii. Political Process Theory/Virtual Representation Argument for Extensive Protection of Foreign Investments	97
3.4.3.iii. Remedies in ITA and State Liability Analogies	100
3.5. Conclusion	105
Chapter 4: Sovereignty and Regulatory Powers in International Investment Law	108
4.1. Introduction	108
4.2. The Concept of State Sovereignty	109
1.1. Analytical Drawbacks of the General Concept of Sovereignty	112

4.3. Critique of the Concept of Sovereignty	115
4.4. The Notion of Police Powers	117
4.5. Genealogy of Police Powers	119
4.5.1. Police Powers in Political Philosophy	119
4.5.2. Police Powers in US Constitutional Law	121
4.5.2.i. Alternative Conceptions of Police Powers in US Constitutional Law	126
4.5.3. Police Powers and International Law	132
4.5.3.i. Police Powers in the Practice of Mixed Claims Commissions	133
4.5.3.ii. Police Power in the Codification Attempts in the Law of State Responsibility for Treatment of Aliens and Their Property	137
4.5.3.iii. Police Power in Conventional International Law	144
4.5.3.iv. The Police Power and Domestic Jurisdiction: Limitations of Domestic Analogies	145
4.6. Police Power and International Investment Law	149
4.6.1. Police Power as an Exception	150
4.6.1.i. Police Power as an Exception to Expropriation	150
4.6.1.ii. Police Power as a Universal Exception?	159
4.6.2. The Police Power as a Principle	163
4.6.2.i. Police Powers Principle and Expropriation	164
4.6.2.ii. Police Powers Principle and Other Investment Treaty Standards	168
4.7. Conclusion	170

PART II

Chapter 5: The Standard of Fair and Equitable Treatment and State Regulatory Powers	173
5.1. Introduction	173
5.2. The Fair Equitable Treatment Standard	173
Chapter 6: The Notion of Legitimate Expectations and the State Regulatory Powers	178
6.1. Introduction	178
6.2. Theoretical Justifications of Legitimate Expectations in International Investment Law	179
6.2.1. Legitimate Expectations as Customary and Conventional International Law	180
6.2.2. Legitimate Expectations as Derived from Arbitral Practice	181
6.2.3. Legitimate Expectations as Unilateral Declarations of State	182
6.2.4. Legitimate Expectations as a General Principle of Law	184
6.3. Legitimate Expectations as a General Principle of Law in a Comparative Perspective	191
6.3.1. England	192
6.3.2. Germany, Switzerland	196
6.3.3. France	199
6.3.4. The United States	200
6.3.5. European Union Law	201
6.3.6. The European Convention on Human Rights	202
6.3.7. Convergence or Divergence?	204

6.3.8. National Courts and Judicial Review of Legitimate Expectations Claims	205
6.3.9. Legitimate Expectations and Opposing Legal Principles	206
6.4. Legitimate Expectations in International Investment Law Practice	210
6.4.1. First ventures	212
6.4.1.i. Metalclad	212
6.4.1.ii. Tecmed	213
6.4.1.iii. Thunderbird	214
6.4.2. Increasing Sophistication in the Treatment of Legitimate Expectations?	218
6.4.3. Legitimate Expectations and Contracts?	221
6.5. Legitimate Expectations in International Investment Law and Disconnection with the Common Justificatory Principles?	227
6.5.1. Extended Protection of Legitimate Expectations through the Concept of Inducement?	227
6.5.1.i. Inducement and Reliance	230
6.5.2. Extended Protection of Legitimate Expectations through the Requirement of Stability of Legal Framework?	232
6.6. Restatement of Protection of Legitimate Expectations under FET ..	238
6.6.1. Specificity of Representations or Undertakings	239
6.6.2. Matters of Domestic Legality, Delegations of Power and Investor's Diligence	241
6.6.3. Content of Representations or Undertakings	243
6.6.4. Reliance on Expectations	244

6.6.5. Protection of Legitimate Expectations	245
6.7. Conclusion	246
Chapter 7: Procedural Review of Regulatory Powers under FET	249
7.1. Introduction	249
7.2. Review of Due Process	250
7.3. Review of Domestic Legality	255
7.4. Transparency and Consistency	264
7.4.1. Transparency of the Regulatory Framework	264
7.4.1.i. Strict Approach to Transparency– Pro-Active Administration	265
7.4.1.ii. Baseline Approach to Transparency– Due Diligence and Accessibility of Regulations	267
7.4.1.iii. Qualified Approach to Transparency – Negotiations Context	268
7.4.2. Consistency of the Regulatory Conduct	269
7.4.2.i. The Unqualified Requirement of Consistency	269
7.4.2.ii. Consistency through Domestic Law Benchmarks: Competence and Administrative Practice	272
7.5. Conclusion	274
Chapter 8: Substantive Protection under FET: Regulatory Powers and the Review of Reasonableness	276
8.1. Introduction - Substantive Protection beyond Legitimate Expectations	276
8.1.1. Arbitrariness and Reasonableness	279

8.1.1.i. Arbitrariness and Reasonableness in Comparative Law	282
8.1.2. The Proportionality Principle and a Proportionality Framework	285
8.2. Distinction between General (Legislative) Measures and Individual (Administrative) Measures	288
8.3. Review of Reasonableness - Part 1: Review of the Public Purpose by International Investment Tribunals	293
8.3.1. The Public Purpose in Expropriation and in FET Distinguished	294
8.3.2. Stage 1: Review of the Public Purpose under FET	298
8.3.2.i. A Strict Approach: Existence of the Public Purpose as a Dispositive Question	300
8.3.2.i.a. Non-acceptance of Responding to Local Political Salience of the Investor's Activities as Legitimate Public Purpose	302
8.3.2.i.b. Non-Acceptance of a Change in Administrative Practice or Regulatory Framework for the Reasons of Domestic Illegality	303
8.3.2.ii. A Deferential Approach: Deference to the State's Invocation of the Public Purpose, except for when The Purpose is Expressly Prohibited	307
8.3.2.ii.a. Clear Prohibitions and Permissions: Ius Cogens, International Public Policy, Domestic Law, and Compliance with other International Norms	309
8.3.2.ii.b. Prohibited Discrimination against Foreign Investors	311
8.3.3. On the Multiplicity of Purposes	313

8.4. Review of Reasonableness - Part 2: Review of the Nexus between the Public Purpose and the Measure by International Investment Tribunals	315
8.5. Stage 2: Suitability Review - Rational Relationship between the Measure and the Public Purpose	316
8.5.1. Suitability as Effectiveness?	318
8.5.1.i. Domestic Legality as a Relevant Benchmark – Individual Measures and Administrative Rule-Making	319
8.5.1.ii. Comparative Domestic and International Law as a Relevant Benchmark: Common Regulatory Practices and International Recommendations	321
8.5.1.iii. The Issue of Hindsight and Considerations of Regulatory Innovation and Experimentation	325
8.5.2. Conclusion on the Review of Suitability	327
8.6. Stage 3: Necessity Review – the Least-Restrictive-Means Test	328
8.7. Stage 4: Proportionality Balancing – Proportionality <i>Stricto Sensu</i> ?	334
8.8. Contextualizing and Equitable Considerations	337
8.9. Conclusion	338
Conclusion	340
9.1. Conclusion and Questions for Further Research	340
Bibliography	346
Books	346
Book Chapters	349
Scholarly Articles	353
Decisions of International Courts and Tribunals	359