

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	I
ABSTRACT	IX
ZUSAMMENFASSUNG	XI
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 MOTIVATION	1
1.2 OBJECTIVES	3
1.3 THESIS OUTLINE	3
1.4 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	4
2 RESERVOIR SUSTAINABILITY AND SEDIMENT BYPASS TUNNELS	5
2.1 RESERVOIR SEDIMENTATION	5
2.2 CONSEQUENCES OF RESERVOIR SEDIMENTATION	8
2.3 OVERVIEW OF COUNTERMEASURES	10
2.4 SEDIMENT BYPASS TUNNELS	12
2.4.1 General remarks	12
2.4.2 Existing sediment bypass tunnels.....	14
2.4.3 Bypass efficiency	16
2.4.4 Invert abrasion.....	17
2.4.5 Eco-morphological effects	22
3 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	23
3.1 HYDRAULICS	23
3.1.1 Parameter definition	24
3.1.2 Flow resistance	24
3.1.3 Secondary currents	26
3.2 SEDIMENT TRANSPORT	28

3.2.1	The initiation of particle motion.....	29
3.2.2	Armor layer	30
3.2.3	Sediment transport mode.....	31
3.2.4	Particle trajectories.....	33
3.2.5	Bedload transport on movable beds	35
3.2.6	Bedload transport on fixed beds.....	38
3.2.7	Suspended sediment transport.....	39
3.3	HYDROABRASION PROCESSES	40
3.3.1	Flow conditions	41
3.3.2	Sediment transport rate and properties.....	42
3.3.3	Particle impact angle	43
3.3.4	Invert material properties	44
3.4	HYDROABRASION TESTING	45
3.4.1	Underwater bedload tests	45
3.4.2	Grinding abrasion tests.....	46
3.4.3	Scaled physical model tests.....	46
3.4.4	Hydroabrasion <i>in-situ</i> tests.....	47
3.4.5	Discussion	48
3.5	HYDROABRASION PREDICTION.....	48
3.5.1	Saltation abrasion model of Sklar and Dietrich (SAM)	49
3.5.2	Saltation abrasion model adapted by Auel (SAMA).....	53
3.5.3	Ishibashi model	53
3.5.4	Numerical models	55
4	SETUPS AND METHODS	57
4.1	ACQUISITION OF FUNDAMENTAL DATA	57
4.1.1	River topography.....	57
4.1.2	Hydraulics	57

4.1.3	Bedload transport in the river	58
4.1.4	Sensitivity analysis of bedload estimation	59
4.1.5	Bedload transport capacity in the SBT	59
4.1.6	Suspended sediment transport.....	60
4.1.7	Material properties	60
4.2	GEOPHONE CALIBRATION	62
4.2.1	Swiss Plate Geophone System	62
4.2.2	Laboratory geophone calibration	64
4.2.3	Field geophone calibration	70
4.3	TEST CASE SOLIS SBT	72
4.3.1	Albula River	72
4.3.2	Solis Reservoir and SBT	74
4.3.3	Test fields	76
4.3.4	Instrumentation.....	80
4.3.5	Sediment transport, properties and classification.....	83
4.3.6	Bedload transport into the Solis Reservoir.....	84
4.3.7	Bedload transport out of the Solis Reservoir	85
4.3.8	Fine suspended sediment transport into the Solis Reservoir.....	85
4.3.9	Fine suspended sediment transport out of the Solis Reservoir.....	89
4.3.10	Coarse suspended sediment into and out of the Solis Reservoir.....	91
4.4	TEST CASE PFAFFENSPRUNG SBT	92
4.4.1	Reuss River	93
4.4.2	Pfaffensprung Reservoir and SBT.....	94
4.4.3	Test setup and devices.....	96
4.5	TEST CASE RUNCAHEZ SBT.....	101
4.5.1	Rein da Sumvitg River	101
4.5.2	Runcahez Reservoir and SBT	102

4.5.3	Test setup.....	104
4.6	UNDERWATER BED LOAD TEST	106
4.6.1	Test setup.....	106
4.6.2	Samples	107
4.7	ABRASION MODEL CALIBRATION	108
4.7.1	Saltation abrasion models.....	108
4.7.2	Ishibashi abrasion model.....	109
5	RESULTS OF THE GEOPHONE CALIBRATION	110
5.1	RESULTS OF THE LABORATORY GEOPHONE CALIBRATION.....	110
5.1.1	Uniform sediment test	110
5.1.2	Sediment mixture tests	112
5.1.3	Solis SPGS calibration	113
5.2	RESULTS OF THE FIELD GEOPHONE CALIBRATION	114
5.2.1	Bedload measurements.....	114
5.2.2	Bedload calibration coefficient K_b	119
5.2.3	Solis SPGS calibration	120
5.3	AMPLITUDE CLASS METHOD (AC)	122
5.4	DISCUSSION	125
6	RESULTS OF THE TEST CASE SOLIS SBT	127
6.1	HYDRAULICS	127
6.2	BEDLOAD TRANSPORT	128
6.2.1	Bedload transport initiation in the Albula River	128
6.2.2	Bedload transport into the Solis Reservoir.....	129
6.2.3	Bedload transport in the SBT	129
6.3	FINE SUSPENDED SEDIMENT.....	133
6.3.1	Fine suspended sediment transport into the reservoir	133
6.3.2	Fine suspended sediment transport out of the reservoir.....	134

6.4	COARSE SUSPENDED SEDIMENT	134
6.4.1	Sediment transport during SBT operation on May 15, 2015	134
6.4.2	Coarse suspended sediment transport into and out of the Solis Reservoir.....	136
6.5	TOTAL SEDIMENT TRANSPORT	137
6.5.1	Plausibility check of the results based on bathymetric surveys	137
6.5.2	Total sediment transport in the Solis SBT	138
6.5.3	Sediment balance of the Solis Reservoir.....	140
6.6	BYPASS AND TRAP EFFICIENCY OF THE SOLIS RESERVOIR	141
6.7	SBT OPERATION	143
6.8	HYDROABRASION	147
7	RESULTS OF THE TEST CASE PFAFFENSPRUNG SBT	152
7.1	HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY.....	152
7.2	SEDIMENT TRANSPORT IN THE REUSS RIVER	154
7.2.1	Bedload transport initiation in the Reuss River	154
7.2.2	Bedload transport capacity in the Reuss River.....	155
7.2.3	Effective bedload transport in the Reuss River.....	157
7.2.4	Total sediment transport in the Reuss River	158
7.3	SEDIMENT TRANSPORT THROUGH THE PFAFFENSPRUNG SBT.....	159
7.4	BYPASS AND TRAP EFFICIENCY OF THE PFAFFENSPRUNG RESERVOIR	160
7.5	HYDROABRASION	162
7.5.1	Concrete test fields.....	162
7.5.2	Granite test fields	167
7.5.3	Summary	170
8	RESULTS OF THE TEST CASE RUNCAHEZ SBT.....	171
8.1	HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS.....	171
8.2	SEDIMENT TRANSPORT IN THE RIVER	173
8.2.1	Bedload transport initiation in the Rein da Sumvitg River	174

VI	TABLE OF CONTENTS
8.2.2	Sediment transport in the Rein da Sumvitg River..... 174
8.3	SEDIMENT TRANSPORT THROUGH THE RUNCAHEZ SBT..... 175
8.4	BYPASS AND TRAP EFFICIENCY OF THE RUNCAHEZ RESERVOIR..... 177
8.5	HYDROABRASION 178
9	RESULTS OF THE UNDERWATER BEDLOAD TESTS..... 182
9.1	LABORATORY ABRASION DATA 182
9.2	SCALABILITY OF DRESDNER DRUM TEST RESULTS TO PROTOTYPE SCALE 187
10	INTEGRATED ANALYSIS 189
10.1	BYPASS EFFICIENCIES OF SBTs 189
10.2	ABRASION MODEL CALIBRATION 190
10.2.1	Saltation abrasion models (SAM and SAMA)..... 191
10.2.2	Ishibashi abrasion model..... 196
10.2.3	Abrasion prediction for SBTs 198
10.3	COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF INVERT MATERIALS..... 201
10.3.1	Modelling cost-effectiveness..... 202
10.3.2	Cost-effectiveness of the Pfaffensprung invert materials 206
10.3.3	Cost-effectiveness of the Runcahez invert materials 207
11	DESIGN AND OPERATION RECOMMENDATIONS 210
11.1	TUNNEL DESIGN: COURSE IN PLAN VIEW, LONGITUDINAL AND CROSS-SECTIONAL PROFILES..... 210
11.2	HYDRAULICS AND SEDIMENT TRANSPORT 211
11.3	SEDIMENT TRAPPING FACILITIES..... 212
11.4	GUIDING STRUCTURE, INTAKE AND OUTLET 213
11.5	HYDROABRASION PREDICTION..... 214
11.6	INVERT MATERIAL 216
11.7	OPERATION..... 218
11.8	MONITORING 219

TABLE OF CONTENTS	VII
12 CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK.....	222
12.1 INVERT ABRASION	223
12.2 GEOPHONE MEASUREMENTS	224
12.3 SBT OPERATION AND BYPASS EFFICIENCY	225
12.4 OUTLOOK	226
13 NOTATION.....	228
13.1 SYMBOLS.....	228
13.2 GREEK SYMBOLS	233
13.3 SUBSCRIPTS	234
13.4 ABBREVIATIONS	236
APPENDIX	237
A OVERVIEW RESERVOIR SEDIMENTATION COUNTERMEASURES	237
B EXISTING SBTs: PLAN VIEW AND CROSS SECTION.....	238
C UNCERTAINTIES AND SENSITIVITY.....	241
D TOTAL LOAD ABRASION MODEL OF LAMB	242
E SOLIS SBT	243
E.1 RIVER TOPOGRAPHY	243
E.2 INVERT MATERIAL TEST SAMPLES.....	244
E.3 EFFECT OF SPGS IMPULSE THRESHOLD ON DETERMINED SEDIMENT TRANSPORT	244
F PFAFFENSPRUNG SBT	246
F.1 RIVER TOPOGRAPHY	246
F.2 GSD ANALYSIS USING BASEGRAIN.....	247
G RUNCAHEZ SBT	249
G.1 RIVER TOPOGRAPHY	249
G.2 HYDROABRASION OF THE TEST FIELDS	250
H ABRASION MODEL CALIBRATION.....	253
H.1 PFAFFENSPRUNG SBT.....	253

H.2 RUNCAHEZ SBT 254

H.3 OTHER SBTs 255

REFERECNES..... 256