

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | III |
| SUMMARY | V |
| ZUSAMMENFASSUNG | VII |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 THESIS MOTIVATION | 1 |
| 1.2 THESIS AIMS | 2 |
| 1.3 THESIS OUTLINE | 3 |
| 2 BACKGROUND | 9 |
| 2.1 NATIVE KNEE | 9 |
| 2.2 ARTHRITIS | 12 |
| 2.3 JOINT REPLACEMENT | 13 |
| 2.4 REVERSE ENGINEERING NATURE TO DESIGN BIOMIMETIC TOTAL KNEE IMPLANTS | 15 |
| 3 REGAINING NATIVE KNEE KINEMATICS FOLLOWING JOINT ARTHROPLASTY: A NOVEL BIOMIMETIC DESIGN WITH ACL AND PCL PRESERVATION | 35 |
| 3.1 INTRODUCTION | 35 |
| 3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS | 37 |
| 3.3 RESULTS | 41 |
| 3.4 DISCUSSION | 44 |
| 3.5 CONCLUSION | 48 |
| 4 ACL SUBSTITUTION MAY IMPROVE KINEMATICS OF PCL-RETAINING TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY | 53 |
| 4.1 INTRODUCTION | 54 |
| 4.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS | 55 |
| 4.3 RESULTS | 59 |
| 4.4 DISCUSSION | 62 |
| 4.5 CONCLUSION | 66 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 5 | INDICATION FOR MEDIAL UNICOMPARTMENTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY IN ACL-DEFICIENT KNEES: IN VIVO KINEMATIC EVALUATION USING A MOVING FLUOROSCOPE | 73 |
| 5.1 | INTRODUCTION | 74 |
| 5.2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 76 |
| 5.3 | RESULTS | 79 |
| 5.4 | DISCUSSION | 84 |
| 5.5 | CONCLUSION | 87 |
| 6 | IS ACL DEFICIENCY ALWAYS A CONTRAINDICATION FOR MEDIAL UKA? KINEMATIC AND KINETIC ANALYSIS OF IMPLANTED AND CONTRALATERAL KNEES | 93 |
| 6.1 | INTRODUCTION | 94 |
| 6.2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS | 95 |
| 6.3 | RESULTS | 98 |
| 6.4 | DISCUSSION | 103 |
| 6.5 | CONCLUSION | 107 |
| 7 | SYNTHESIS | 113 |
| 7.1 | ACHIEVEMENTS AND DISCUSSION | 113 |
| 7.2 | LIMITATIONS AND OUTLOOK | 116 |
| 7.3 | OVERALL CONCLUSIONS | 118 |
| | APPENDIX | 121 |
| A-1 | KNEE SIMULATOR WEAR TEST (CHAPTER 3) | 121 |
| A-2 | ADDITIONAL KNEESIM SIMULATIONS (CHAPTER 4) | 123 |
| | COURSE WORK | 127 |
| | CURRICULUM VITAE | |