

Contents

Part I The Tradition of Topics

1 Aristotle's Research on Topics: The Foundation of the Study of Inference in Argumentation	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.1.1 A Methodological Premise	3
1.1.2 The Topica Within Aristotle's Work	6
1.2 Basic Notions and Open Problems About Inference and Dialectical Interaction	7
1.2.1 Inference	8
1.2.2 Premises and Endoxa	9
1.2.3 Problems and Premises	9
1.2.4 Semantic Constituency of Problems and Premises: The Role of the <i>Predicables</i>	11
1.2.5 Knowledge-Oriented Versus Practical Argumentation	12
1.2.6 Constructive and Destructive Arguments	13
1.2.7 A Contemporary Retrospective Question: Is the Notion of <i>Standpoint</i> Missing in Aristotle?	13
1.2.8 The Contextual-Factual Component of Arguments: Contributions from the Rhetoric to the Study of Inference	14
1.2.9 Heuristic Devices for the Discovery of Arguments	15
1.3 The Crucial Concept of <i>Topos</i> in Aristotle	17
1.3.1 The Polysemy of the Noun 'Topos'	17
1.3.2 The Typology that Aristotle Never Explicitly Drew	19
1.4 Categories and Examples of Aristotelian <i>Topoi</i> from the <i>Topica</i> and the <i>Rhetoric</i>	22
1.4.1 Examples of Interactional-Dialectical, Rhetorical, and Sophistic <i>Topoi</i>	23
1.4.2 Examples of Inferential-Dialectical <i>Topoi</i>	23

1.4.3	The “Little Topica” of <i>Rhetoric</i> II	29
1.4.4	The Topoi of Practical Argumentation	33
1.4.5	Topoi Concerning Argumentation <i>Around</i> the Predicables: Genus, Property and Definition	35
1.4.6	Topoi Related to Identity	48
1.4.7	A Note on the Linguistic Presentation of Inferential-Dialectical Topoi	49
1.5	Strategies at Work in a Dialectical Interaction	52
1.5.1	Dialectical Scenario	53
1.5.2	Hiding the Conclusion... and Further Practical Advice About How to Conduct a Discussion	54
1.5.3	Constitutive Conditions of a Dialectical Interaction	55
	References	56
2	Cicero’s Topica and the Establishment of the Topical Tradition by Boethius	59
2.1	Cicero’s Intuitions on Topics	60
2.1.1	Why Cicero Wrote His <i>Topica</i>	60
2.1.2	Cicero’s Contributions to the Establishment of a Doctrine of Loci: The Importance of a “Pars Inveniendi” in Argumentation	61
2.1.3	The Notions of <i>Locus</i> and <i>Argument</i>	61
2.1.4	A Criterion for Classifying Loci	62
2.1.5	Discussion of Each Single Locus	63
2.1.6	<i>Quaestiones</i> : Focus on the Discussion Issues	65
2.2	Severinus Boethius: A Critical Synthesizer	66
2.2.1	The Life and Works of Boethius	66
2.2.2	A Map of Definitions	68
2.2.3	Fundamental Notions Within Boethius’ Doctrine of Loci	72
2.2.4	Boethius’ Classification of Loci	75
2.2.5	Inferential Analysis of Loci	85
2.2.6	Loci in Rhetoric	87
	References	91
3	The Richness and Originality of Medieval Contributions	93
3.1	The Concept of <i>Habitudo</i> and Its Relevance to the Study of Loci	95
3.1.1	Habitudo as a Relation Between Two Poles	95
3.1.2	Habitudines-Loci and Maxims	97
3.2	Garlandus Compotista: How the Tradition of Topics Is Transmitted to the Middle Ages	98
3.3	William of Sherwood	102
3.4	Peter of Spain	104

3.4.1	A New <i>Auctoritas</i>	104
3.4.2	A Systematic Application of the Notion of <i>Habitudo</i>	106
3.4.3	Peter’s Loci: A Systematic Classification	106
3.5	Peter Abelard’s <i>Dialectica</i>	116
3.5.1	The Contents of the <i>Dialectica</i> and the Peculiar Position of the <i>Topica</i> Within This Work	117
3.5.2	The Locus-Habitudo as a Force of Inference	118
3.5.3	Distinctive Features of Maximal Propositions	120
3.5.4	The Assignment of the Locus (<i>Assignatio Loci</i>): A Partially Indefinite but Relevant Concept	121
3.5.5	Classification and Evaluation of the Loci-Differences (<i>Divisio Locorum Differentiarum</i>)	123
	References	127
4	After the Middle Ages	131
4.1	Valla and the New ‘Humanistic’ Approach to Topics	133
4.1.1	Contents of Valla’s <i>Dialecticae Disputationes</i>	134
4.1.2	Valla and the Loci: The Choice of Quintilian as the New Authority	136
4.2	Agricola’s Loci	137
4.2.1	A Critical Attitude Towards the Preceding Tradition	138
4.2.2	The Concept of Locus: Between Tradition and Innovation	139
4.2.3	How Maxims Are Eliminated	141
4.2.4	Agricola’s Innovative Taxonomy	143
4.3	The Gradual Rediscovery of the Tradition by Philip Melanchthon	146
4.4	In Dialogue with Melanchthon: Johannes Caesarius	151
4.5	Petrus Ramus	153
4.5.1	Ramus’ Dialectic: Permanent and Transient Aspects	154
4.5.2	Suggestive Insights in Ramus’ Elaboration	157
4.6	The English Tradition and the Contribution by Ralph Lever	159
4.7	The End of the Topical Tradition?	164
	References	166
5	Modern and Contemporary Approaches	169
5.1	Whately and Schopenhauer: On Alternative Evolutions of the Tradition of Topics	169
5.1.1	Schopenhauer’s Stratagems	170
5.1.2	Alternative Views on Inference Based on the Tradition	171
5.1.3	Whately and the Educational Function of Topics	172
5.2	The Locus and the Loci: Toulmin Versus Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca	176

- 5.2.1 The Toulmin Model 176
- 5.2.2 Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca 178
- 5.3 Kienpointner’s Alltagslogik 180
- 5.4 Handbooks of Debate in North America 182
 - 5.4.1 A Production Perspective 183
- 5.5 Hastings 185
 - 5.5.1 Introduction 185
 - 5.5.2 Hastings’ Modes of Reasoning One by One 187
- 5.6 The Contribution of Douglas Walton and Colleagues 194
- 5.7 A Pragma-Dialectical View on Argument Schemes 196
- 5.8 Incorrect Inferences: A Note on the Study of Fallacies 201
- References 202

Part II The Argumentum Model of Topics

- 6 The Inferential Configuration of Arguments: The Argumentum Model of Topics 207**
 - 6.1 Introduction 207
 - 6.2 The Argumentum Model of Topics 208
 - 6.2.1 The Procedural Component 210
 - 6.2.2 The Material-Contextual Component and Its Intertwining with the Procedural-Inferential Component 212
 - 6.2.3 The AMT Reconstruction and the Evaluation of Argument Schemes 217
 - 6.3 The AMT and Other Reconstructions of the Inferential Configuration of Arguments 218
 - 6.3.1 Toulmin’s Model 218
 - 6.3.2 Kienpointner’s *Alltagslogik* 220
 - 6.3.3 Walton’s Studies on Argument Schemes 221
 - 6.3.4 The Pragma-Dialectical Approach to Argument Schemes 222
 - 6.4 Discussion: Reasons for Adopting the AMT Perspective 224
 - 6.5 From Arguments to Argumentative Discourse: The Interaction of AMT Analysis and Argumentation Structures 228
 - 6.5.1 Combination of the Analysis of Argumentation Structures and AMT Analysis of Argument Schemes 229
 - 6.5.2 From Argumentation Structures to Argument Schemes: A Case in Point 234
 - 6.5.3 Final Remarks 241
 - References 242
- 7 Advanced Theoretical Perspectives and Open Questions 247**
 - 7.1 Criteria for a Taxonomy of Loci 248
 - 7.2 Locus by Locus: A Concise Description 252

- 7.2.1 Intrinsic Loci 252
- 7.2.2 Extrinsic Loci 260
- 7.2.3 Complex Loci 264
- 7.3 The Locus-Maxims Connection and the Generation of Maxims 266
- 7.4 Semantic Analysis and the Evaluation of Maxims 267
 - 7.4.1 Disambiguation 268
 - 7.4.2 Semantic Analysis as a Tool for the Study of Maxims and Their Connection to Argument Schemes 270
- 7.5 Loci in Framing Processes 271
- 7.6 Arrangement and the Choice of an “Argumentative Path” 272
 - 7.6.1 First Path: Arguments-Follow-Standpoint 272
 - 7.6.2 Second Path: Standpoint-Follows-Arguments 274
- 7.7 Using the AMT for Corpora Annotation 276
- 7.8 A Heuristic Perspective? 276
- 7.9 The Province of Loci 277
- 7.10 Conclusions and Openings 279
- References 279
- 8 Analysis and Evaluation of Arguments in Context 285**
 - 8.1 Introduction 285
 - 8.2 Argumentation from Analogy at a Time of Financial Crisis 286
 - 8.2.1 Context 287
 - 8.2.2 The Economic Crisis as a Natural Hurricane? 287
 - 8.2.3 AMT Analysis 290
 - 8.3 The Role of the Locus from Termination and Setting Up in Dispute Mediation 291
 - 8.3.1 Dispute Mediation as an Argumentative Activity 292
 - 8.3.2 Will that Friendship Be Lost? Uses of the Locus from Termination and Setting Up in Mediation 294
 - 8.3.3 AMT Analysis 298
 - 8.4 The Reconstruction of Implicit Premises in Children’s Argumentation 299
 - 8.4.1 Context 299
 - 8.4.2 The Toti Submarine Example 300
 - 8.4.3 AMT Analysis 302
 - 8.5 “Don’t Throw the Baby Out with the Bath Water”: Argumentation in the Context of Semi-direct Democracy in Switzerland 305
 - 8.5.1 Context 306
 - 8.5.2 A Legislation that Has Proven Its Worth 308
 - 8.5.3 AMT Analysis 309

8.6	Concluding Remarks	311
	References	312
	Appendix: Domains of Contextualised Argumentation that Have Been Investigated to Date Using the AMT	315
	Index	323