

## Table of Contents

Frequently used abbreviations .....	6
Summary .....	7
Zusammenfassung .....	9
<b>1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>12</b>
1.1 Overview .....	13
1.2 Membrane ultrafiltration .....	13
1.3 System of interest: Gravity Driven membrane ultrafiltration .....	14
1.4 General assumptions of membrane ultrafiltration and relevance for GDM hydraulic resistance 16	
1.4.1 Accumulation, thickness and flux decline .....	16
1.4.2 Compression, transmembrane pressure, and hydraulic resistance .....	20
1.4.3 Shear stress, fouling layer cohesion and hydraulic resistance .....	23
1.5 Methodology for evaluating membrane biofilms and hydraulic resistance .....	24
1.5.1 Structural characterisation of membrane biofilms .....	26
1.5.2 Chemical characterisation of membrane biofilms .....	29
1.6 Objectives of the presented thesis .....	34
1.7 Relevance for science and society .....	36
<b>2 Linking composition of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) to the physical structure and hydraulic resistance of membrane biofilms</b> .....	<b>37</b>
2.1 Abstract .....	38
2.2 Introduction .....	39
2.3 Material and Methods .....	42
2.3.1 Experimental setup .....	42
2.3.2 Experimental approach .....	43
2.3.3 Membrane preparation .....	43
2.3.4 Feed-water preparation .....	44
2.3.5 Bacteria cultivation and MFS inoculation .....	45
2.3.6 Hydraulic parameters .....	46
2.3.7 Structural quantification .....	47
2.3.8 Biofilm harvesting .....	47
2.3.9 EPS extraction .....	47
2.3.10 Biofilm density .....	48
2.3.11 Total polysaccharide quantification .....	48

2.3.12 Total protein quantification .....	48
2.3.13 Extracellular DNA quantification .....	49
2.3.14 2D confocal Raman microscopy .....	49
2.3.15 Statistical analysis .....	50
2.4 Results .....	50
2.4.1 Permeate flux and hydraulic resistance .....	50
2.4.2 Biofilm physical structure .....	52
2.4.3 Biofilm accumulation .....	54
2.4.4 EPS concentration .....	56
2.5 Discussion .....	59
2.5.1 Distinct biofilm physical structures have a characteristic hydraulic resistance .....	59
2.5.2 Linking EPS chemical composition to biofilm physical structure .....	61
2.5.3 Practical considerations .....	63
2.6 Conclusions .....	64
2.7 Supplementary information (SI) .....	66
2.7.1 Nutrient enriched biofilms .....	66
2.7.2 P limiting biofilms .....	67
2.7.3 N limiting biofilms .....	68
<b>3 Physical structure determines compression of membrane biofilms during Gravity Driven Membrane (GDM) ultrafiltration</b> .....	<b>74</b>
3.1 Abstract .....	75
3.2 Introduction .....	76
3.3 Materials and Methods .....	78
3.3.1 Biofilm growth set-up .....	78
3.3.2 Biofilm compression .....	80
3.3.3 Hydraulic analysis .....	82
3.3.4 Biofilm characterisation .....	83
3.4 Results .....	87
3.4.1 Biofilm characterisation .....	87
3.4.2 Effect of transmembrane pressure and permeate flux on biofilm morphology .....	88
3.4.3 Biofilm hydraulic resistance .....	90
3.4.4 Transmembrane pressure and biofilm hydraulic resistance .....	90
3.4.5 Permeate flux and biofilm hydraulic resistance .....	90
3.4.6 Effect of Transmembrane pressure and permeate flux on biofilm physical structure .....	91
3.4.7 Biofilm thickness .....	91
3.4.8 Biofilm surface roughness .....	91

3.4.9	Compressibility analysis	95
3.5	Discussion	96
3.5.1	Mechanisms of biofilm physical compression and implication for hydraulic resistance	96
3.5.2	Reversible vs. irreversible compression—implication for hydraulic resistance	96
3.5.3	Practical implications	98
3.6	Conclusions	100
3.7	Supplementary information	103
3.7.1	Nutrient enriched biofilms	103
3.7.2	P limiting biofilms	104
3.7.3	River water biofilms	105
<b>4</b>	<b>Stratification in the physical structure and cohesion of membrane biofilms—implications for hydraulic resistance</b>	<b>108</b>
4.1	Abstract	109
4.2	Introduction	110
4.3	Materials and Methods	112
4.3.1	Biofilm growth-setup	112
4.3.2	Biofilm erosion	115
4.3.3	Hydraulic parameters	116
4.3.4	Biofilm characterisation	116
4.4	Results	119
4.4.1	Biofilm morphology	119
4.4.2	Biofilm physical structure	122
4.4.3	Hydraulic response to hydraulic shear stress	123
4.4.4	Biofilm rheological analysis	125
4.5	Discussion	126
4.5.1	Stratification in the cohesion of membrane biofilms	126
4.5.2	Stratification in the physical structure and hydraulic resistance of heterogeneous membrane biofilms	126
4.5.3	Practical implications	129
4.6	Conclusions	129
4.7	Supplementary information (SI)	132
4.7.1	Compositional analysis of membrane biofilms	132
4.7.2	2D optical coherence tomography of biofilm structural response to hydraulic shear stress	133
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions and outlook</b>	<b>140</b>
5.1	Main conclusions of individual chapters	141

5.1.1	Composition and micro-scale distribution of EPS determines biofilm structure	141
5.1.2	Density of membrane biofilms exerts greater hydraulic resistance than thickness	142
5.1.3	Irreversible compression of membrane biofilms is due to mesoscale structural heterogeneity	142
5.1.4	Compression of heterogeneous biofilm structures is not directly linked to change in hydraulic resistance	143
5.1.5	Structural and material characteristics of membrane biofilms determine mechanism of shear-induced detachment	143
5.1.6	Hydraulic resistance is not proportional to thickness due to stratification in physical structure of membrane biofilms	143
5.2	Outlook	144
<b>Bibliography</b>		<b>147</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>		<b>147</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>		<b>150</b>