

## Table of contents

List of figures .....	i
List of tables .....	ii
List of supplementary materials .....	iii
List of publications as of 19 February 2019 .....	iv
Additional work performed during the PhD not directly related to this thesis .....	v
Abbreviations .....	vi
Acknowledgments .....	viii
<b>Executive summary</b> .....	ix
<b>1. Introduction</b> .....	1
1.1. Background .....	1
1.1.1. Decentralization: definitions and forms .....	1
1.1.2. Motivations behind decentralization .....	3
1.1.3. Approaches to assess decentralization .....	6
1.1.4. Decision space approach .....	8
1.1.5. Effectiveness of decentralization in improving health system performance .....	12
1.1.6. Health sector devolution in the Philippines .....	14
1.2. Objectives .....	17
1.2.1. Specific objectives .....	17
1.3. Methods .....	18
1.3.1. Systematic review for assessing the effectiveness of decentralization .....	19
1.3.2. Qualitative research component .....	19
1.3.3. Quantitative research component .....	21
1.3.4. Ethical considerations .....	22
1.4. Description of thesis chapters .....	23
<b>2. Assessing decentralisation is a challenging but necessary task if it should continue as a reform strategy: Reflections from the systematic review by Sumah, Baatiema, and Abimbola</b> .....	25
2.1. Introduction .....	26
2.2. Impact vs. effectiveness .....	26
2.3. The challenge in assessing a complex intervention .....	27
2.4. The decision space approach and possible ways forward .....	29
<b>3. What conditions enable decentralization to improve the health system? Qualitative analysis of perspectives on decision space after 25 years of devolution in the Philippines</b> .....	30
3.1. Abstract .....	31
3.2. Introduction .....	32
3.2.1. Decision space approach .....	33
3.2.2. Devolution in the Philippines .....	34
3.3. Methods .....	36
3.3.1. Semi-structured questionnaire .....	36
3.3.2. Participant selection .....	37
3.3.3. Data collection .....	37
3.3.4. Framework method .....	38
3.3.5. Ethics statement .....	39
3.4. Results .....	39
3.4.1. Profiles of the decision-makers .....	39
3.4.2. Health sector functions .....	41
3.4.3. Planning .....	41
3.4.4. Financing and budget allocation .....	42
3.4.5. Resource management .....	46
3.4.6. Program implementation and service delivery .....	50
3.4.7. Monitoring and data management .....	51
3.5. Discussion .....	54
3.6. Conclusions .....	56
3.7. Acknowledgments .....	57
3.8. Supplementary material .....	58
<b>4. Optimising decentralisation for the health sector by exploring the synergy of decision space, capacity and accountability: Insights from the Philippines</b> .....	65
4.1. Abstract .....	66
4.2. Background .....	67
4.2.1. Decision space, capacity, and accountability .....	69
4.2.2. Exploring the synergy in the Philippines .....	71
4.3. Methods .....	72
4.3.1. Health sector functions .....	74
4.4. Results .....	79
4.4.1. Planning .....	79
4.4.2. Financing and budget allocation .....	82
4.4.3. Program implementation and service delivery .....	83
4.4.4. Management of facilities, equipment, and supplies .....	84
4.4.5. Health workforce management .....	88
4.4.6. Data monitoring and utilization .....	89
4.5. Discussion and conclusion .....	90
4.6. Acknowledgments .....	93
4.7. Supplementary material .....	93
<b>5. Discontent among local health managers and dependence on central support are driving the desire to re-centralize health services: A pilot survey in a Philippine region</b> .....	100

5.1.	Abstract .....	101
5.2.	Introduction .....	102
5.2.1.	Setting the context: Central support for financing local health services .....	103
5.2.2.	Who should be making decisions at local levels? .....	105
5.3.	Methods .....	106
5.3.1.	Survey questionnaire .....	106
5.3.2.	Study site and participants .....	108
5.3.3.	Mixed methods approach to data analysis .....	109
5.3.4.	Ethics approval .....	109
5.4.	Results .....	109
5.4.1.	Response rate and characteristics of respondents .....	109
5.4.2.	Perspectives on health sector devolution .....	111
5.4.3.	Current vs. preferred decision-makers for health sector functions .....	112
5.4.4.	Preferred structure of governance .....	116
5.5.	Discussion .....	119
5.6.	Conclusions .....	122
5.7.	Acknowledgments .....	123
5.8.	Author contributions .....	123
5.9.	Disclosure statement .....	123
5.10.	Ethics and consent .....	123
5.11.	Funding information .....	124
5.12.	Paper context .....	124
<b>6.</b>	<b>Decentralised governance of health services for improving health system performance (Protocol) .....</b>	<b>125</b>
6.1.	Abstract .....	126
6.2.	Background .....	126
6.2.1.	Description of the condition .....	127
6.2.2.	Description of the intervention .....	128
6.2.3.	How the intervention might work .....	129
6.2.4.	Logic model .....	131
6.2.5.	Why it is important to do this review .....	133
6.3.	Objectives .....	133
6.4.	Methods .....	134
6.4.1.	Criteria for considering studies for this review .....	134
6.4.2.	Types of studies .....	134
6.4.3.	Types of participants .....	135
6.4.4.	Types of interventions .....	136
6.4.5.	Exclusions .....	136

6.4.6.	Types of outcome measures .....	137
6.4.7.	Search methods for identification of studies .....	138
6.4.8.	Electronic searches .....	138
6.4.9.	Searching other resources .....	139
6.4.10.	Data collection and analysis .....	140
6.5.	Appendices .....	147
6.6.	Contributions of authors .....	150
6.7.	Declarations of interest .....	150
<b>7.</b>	<b>Conclusions .....</b>	<b>151</b>
7.1.	Recap of the three components of the thesis .....	151
7.2.	Summary of findings .....	152
7.2.1.	Decentralization as a continuing feature of public sector reform .....	155
7.2.2.	Human resources and institutions at the core of decentralization .....	157
7.2.3.	The utility of the synergy of decision space, capacities, and accountability for various settings .....	158
7.3.	Strengths and limitations .....	159
7.3.1.	Decision space approach .....	159
7.3.2.	Synergy of decision space, capacities, and accountability .....	160
7.3.3.	Mixed methods approach .....	161
7.3.4.	Systematic review .....	162
7.4.	Opportunities for future research .....	162
7.5.	Policy recommendations for the Philippines .....	163
<b>8.</b>	<b>References .....</b>	<b>166</b>
<b>9.</b>	<b>Additional materials .....</b>	<b>175</b>
9.1.	Ethics approval from the Philippines .....	175
9.2.	Ethics approval from Switzerland .....	176
9.3.	Systematic review protocol approval from the Cochrane Collaboration .....	178
9.4.	Informed consent form .....	179
9.5.	Curriculum vitae .....	184