

# Table of Contents

<b>Acknowledgment</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>VII</b>
<b>Clinical trial process flow</b>	<b>X</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b>	<b>XI</b>
<b>Chapter 1 – Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Soil-transmitted helminths .....	1
1.1.1. Global distribution and burden.....	1
1.1.2. Life cycle .....	4
1.1.3. Clinical symptoms .....	6
1.1.4. Helminth therapy .....	6
1.2. Control programmes – preventive chemotherapy .....	8
1.3. Limitation of preventive chemotherapy .....	10
1.3.1. Promising drugs .....	11
1.3.1.1. Tribendimidine .....	12
1.3.1.2. Oxantel pamoate .....	13
1.3.2. Drug combinations .....	15
1.4. Integrated control approach .....	16
1.5. Diagnostics .....	17
1.6. Study sites .....	19
1.6.1. Tanzania .....	19
1.6.2. Côte d'Ivoire .....	20
1.6.3. Lao People's Democratic Republic.....	20
1.6.4. Lesotho .....	21
1.7. Aim and objectives .....	23
<b>Chapter 2 – Review of anthelmintic drug efficacy</b>	<b>33</b>
<i>Efficacy of the current drugs against soil-transmitted helminths: systematic review and network meta-analysis</i>	
<b>Chapter 3 – Oxantel pamoate dose-finding trial</b>	<b>45</b>
<i>Efficacy and safety of oxantel pamoate in school-aged children infected with Trichuris trichiura on Pemba Island, Tanzania: a parallel, randomised, controlled dose-ranging study</i>	
<b>Chapter 4a – Tribendimidine combination trial</b>	<b>55</b>
<i>Efficacy and safety of tribendimidine, tribendimidine plus ivermectin, tribendimidine plus oxantel pamoate, and albendazole plus oxantel pamoate against hookworm and concomitant soil-transmitted helminth infections in Tanzania and Côte d'Ivoire: a randomised, controlled, single-blinded, non-inferiority trial</i>	

<b>Chapter 4b – Triple combination trials</b>	<b>67</b>
<i>Efficacy and tolerability of triple drug therapy with albendazole, pyrantel pamoate, and oxantel pamoate compared with albendazole plus oxantel pamoate, pyrantel pamoate plus oxantel pamoate, and mebendazole plus pyrantel pamoate and oxantel pamoate against hookworm infections in school-aged children in Laos: a randomised, single-blind trial</i>	
<b>Chapter 4c – Reinfection study</b>	<b>77</b>
<i>Efficacy and reinfection with soil-transmitted helminths 18-weeks post-treatment with albendazole-ivermectin, albendazole-mebendazole, albendazole-oxantel pamoate and mebendazole</i>	
<b>Chapter 5a – FECPAK<sup>G2</sup> comparison</b>	<b>89</b>
<i>Diagnostic comparison between FECPAK<sup>G2</sup> and the Kato-Katz method for analysing soil-transmitted helminth eggs in stool</i>	
<b>Chapter 5b – Soil-transmitted helminths in Lesotho</b>	<b>103</b>
<i>Unexpected low soil-transmitted helminth prevalence in the Butha-Butha district in Lesotho, results from a cross-sectional survey</i>	
<b>Chapter 6 – General discussion</b>	<b>109</b>
6.1. Rationale and objectives.....	109
6.2 Review of the efficacy of current anthelmintic drugs .....	113
6.2.1 Advantages of the new review.....	113
6.3. Application of the review results and new efficacy measures.....	116
6.3.1. Anthelmintic drug resistance and application of the review results .....	116
6.3.2. Application of drug efficacy measurement.....	119
6.4. Review of old and new drugs.....	122
6.4.1. Extending the review with new anthelmintic drugs or combinations .....	122
6.5. Efficacy of the tested drugs.....	125
6.5.1. Comparing the drug efficacy from three clinical trials.....	126
6.6. Preventive chemotherapy – a debate and outlook .....	130
6.6.1. Does preventive chemotherapy a benefit? .....	130
6.6.2. Next steps for STH control .....	130
6.7. Further steps for diagnostics.....	132
6.7.1. Helminth diagnostics: available tools, limitations and further steps .....	134
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>138</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	<b>147</b>